

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/092,100 03/06/2002			Robert S. Robinson	ROBI-22US	8012	•
26875	26875 7590 08/29/2006			EXAMINER		
WOOD, HE	RRON &	EVANS, LLP	NICOLAS, FREDERICK C			
2700 CAREW	TOWE	₹				
441 VINE ST	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CINCINIATI OH 45202				2754		

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/092,100	ROBINSON, ROBERT S.
Examiner	Art Unit
Frederick C. Nicolas	3754

		Frederick C. Nicolas	3754	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress
ГНЕ	REPLY FILED 21 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C	nce, which SFR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final reject	ion.
nave unde set fo nay	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approper originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed ENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto	nsideration and/or search (see www.);	NOTE below);	
_	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).	•	
5. 🛚	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	·	
ō. L	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separa	ite, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7. 🗵	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 27 and 40.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-26,28-39 and 41-45. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	 IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing and sufficient reasons why the affi	a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> davit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented	opeal and/or appellant fa . See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
REC	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation DUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
	☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			ince because:
	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap	Frederick C. Nicol	8/27/DE
			Frimary Examiner	



Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment filed 8/21/2006 have not been entered because the proposed amendment to claims 1,3,19,28 present further consideration and new issue, e.g., "an extension arm, and extending above the circumferential wall" as recited in claims 1,19. Further, applicant argued that the rejection of claims 44,35,26,39,28,41,29,42,30,43,8-9 in the Office Action dated 2/16/06 were not clearly developed. Such argument is unclear, since the above noted claims were properly rejected in the Office Action dated 2/16/2006 and the prior art for the rejection was properly cited.